Today, there are millions and millions, like men, who do, and we recognize the need to protect them better than they have been by providing the most effective-the most effective-crime prevention tool there is: lighting. It provides for more rape crisis centers. It sets up a national hotline that battered women can call around the clock to get advice and counseling.

I am working on the ability for them when they call to also be able to get a lawyer who will handle their case pro bono-for free-and help guide them through the system. They were getting rape education efforts going with our young people so we can break the cycle of violence that begets violence.

I might note parenthetically, one of the reasons I wrote this legislation initially, the Violence Against Women Act, is that I came across an incredible study, a poll done in the State of Rhode Island, of, I think, seventh, eighth and ninth graders. I am not certain, to be honest. I think seventh,

eighth and ninth graders.

It asks, in the poll conducted, the survey, "If a man spends \$10 on a woman, is he entitled to force sex on her if she refuses?" An astounding 30some percent of the young men answering the question said, "Yes." But do you know what astounded me more? Mr. President, 25 percent of the young girls said "yes" as well. We have a cultural problem here that crosses lines of race, religion, ethnicity, and income. We just do not take seriously enough the battering of our women—our women, is the way our friends like to say it—of women in this country. This is especially true when it comes to victims who know their assailants. For too long we have been quick to call these private misfortunes rather than public disgraces.

The Violence Against Women Act also meant to do something else bevond the concrete measures that I mentioned. It also sent a clarion call across the land that crimes against women will no longer be treated as second-class crimes. For too long the victims of these crimes have been seen, not as innocent targets of brutality, but as participants who somehow bear some shame or even some responsibility for the violence inflicted upon

them.

As I said, this is especially true when it comes to victims who know their assailants. For too long we have been quick to call theirs a private misfortune rather than a public disgrace. We viewed the crime as less than criminal, the abuser less than culpable, and the victim as less than worthy of justice.

In my own State of Delaware, until recently, if a man raped a woman he did not know, he was eligible, if he brutally did it, to be convicted of first-degree rape. But do you know what? We had a provision in our law, and many States had similar provisions, that said if the woman knew the man, if the woman was the social companion of the man, then he could only be tried for

second-degree rape, the inference being that somehow she must have invited something because she knew him, she went out with him.

It seems to me we have to remain ever vigilant in our efforts to make our streets and our neighborhoods and our homes safer for all people, but in this case particularly for women. We need to make sure right now that no judge ever misreads the carjacking statute again and undermines the overwhelming purpose of my legislation in the first place, which was to change the psyche of this Nation about how we are to deal with the brutal act of rape. It is not a sex crime, it is an act of violence, a violent act.

Now, one of the most respected courts in the Nation has come down and said it does not constitute serious bodily injury. So, Mr. President, we need to make sure right now that no judge ever misreads the carjacking statute again. We need to tell them what we intend, what we always intended, that the words "serious bodily injury" mean rape, no ifs, ands, or buts. The legislation, a bill to be introduced by myself and Senator HATCH and others, does just that. It says, and I will read from one section:

Section 2119(2) of title 18, United States Code, is amended by inserting ", including any conduct that, if the conduct occurred in the special maritime or territorial jurisdiction of the United States, would violate section 2241 or 2242 of this title" after "(as defined in section 1365 of this title)"

Translated into everyday English it means, serious bodily injury means rape. No judge will be able to, no matter how—I should not editorialize. No judge in the future, once we pass this legislation, will be able ever again to say that serious bodily injury does not include rape.

I thank Senator HATCH, and I would like to particularly thank Demetra Lambros, who is sitting behind me, a woman lawyer on my staff who worked with Representative CONYERS' staff to write this legislation, for the effort she has made and for calling this to my attention. I also thank Senator HATCH, who has always been supportive and very involved in this, and his staff, and Congressman CONYERS, the ranking member of the House Judiciary Committee.

I am confident if every Member—this is presumptuous for me to say, Mr. President—but as every Member of the Senate becomes aware of what this does, I cannot imagine there is anyone here or anyone in the House who will not support it.

I thank the Chair. I realize the hour is late. I thank the Chair for indulging me. Tomorrow, hopefully, we will be in a position to bring this legislation up and pass it.

I vield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Iowa.

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, for our distinguished majority leader, I

make the following request. I ask unanimous consent that there now be a period for the transaction of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak for up to 5 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without

objection, it is so ordered.

MARITIME SECURITY PROGRAM

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I have always been a strong supporter of the U.S.-flag merchant marine, and America's maritime industry. That is why, last year I introduced the Maritime Security Act of 1995. This bill is the product of nearly a decade of bipartisan and bicameral effort. It will reform, streamline, and reduce Federal support for the U.S.-flag merchant marine, while at the same time revitalizing our U.S.-flag fleet.

The starting point for the Maritime Security Program is the simple and valid premise that America's merchant marine is a vital component of our

military sealift capability.

Thus, in order to protect our military presence overseas, we must have a modern, efficient, and reliable sealift. On this point, the assessment of our Nation's top military leaders is unequivocal. Our military needs a U.S.flag merchant marine to carry supplies to our troops overseas. We cannot, in fact, we must not, rely on foreign ships and foreign crews to deliver supplies into hostile areas.

Just recently I receive a letter from Adm. Thomas Moorer, the former Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. and Rear Adm. Robert Spiro, a former Under Secretary of the Army. They both enthusiastically endorsed the legislation. I have added this letter to a stack of letters sitting on my desk from many other distinguished military leaders who also have strongly backed the Maritime Security Act.

Not long ago, I also received endorsements of the Maritime Security Act from the Honorable John P. White, the current Deputy Secretary of Defense, and the Honorable John W. Douglass, the current Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Research, Development and Acquisition.

I also have received numerous letters from members of the Navy League of the United States.

Clearly, there is visible support from both the active and retired military community for the recognized value of

this program.

The Maritime Security Act will ensure that our Nation will continue to have access to both a fleet of militarily useful U.S.-flag commercial vessels, and a cadre of trained and loyal U.S.citizen crews. What's more, under this bill our military planners will gain access to the onshore logistical and intermodal capabilities of these U.S.-flag vessel operators. Instead of just getting a ship, our military gets access to port facilities worldwide, state-of-the-art computer tracking systems, intermodal loading and transfer equipment,